Appln. No.: 09/894,446

Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment dated November 14, 2006

Reply to Miscellaneous Letter of October 31, 2006

REMARKS

The miscellaneous office communication of October 31, 2006 has been carefully reviewed and these remarks are responsive thereto.

It should be noted that in an abundance of caution, Applicants responded to the prior office communication mailed September 19, 2006 on October 19, 2006. As agreed by the Examiner in a telephone conference on October 2, 2006, the prior office communication set an improper deadline for response. The Examiner intended to withdraw the communication and issue a new communication that clearly indicated the proper response period. However, failing to receive such a communication prior to the deadline set in the original office communication, Applicants made the response on October 19, 2006. Having now received the corrected office communication, Applicants again resubmit the response clarifying that claim 19 is cancelled.

Reconsideration and allowance of the instant application are respectfully requested. Claims 1-6, 8-14, 21-26, and 30-34 remain pending in this application. Claims 7, 15-20, and 27-29 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. Applicants repeat below the remarks and arguments presented in the Amendment filed July 7, 2006.

Preliminarily, applicants note with appreciation the indication that the application contains allowable subject matter. Specifically, claims 1-6 and 8-14 have been allowed and claim 28 has been objected to for being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if amended to incorporate all the features of their ultimate base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 19-26 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. patent no. 6,742,059 B1 to Todd et al. ("Todd"). Claim 30 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Todd in view of U.S. patent no. 6,665,731 to Kumar et al. ("Kumar"); claims 31-33 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Todd in view of U.S. patent no. 6,487,590 to Foley et al. ("Foley"); and claim 34 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Todd in view of U.S. patent no. 6,546,419 to Humpleman et al. ("Humpleman"). Notwithstanding the merits of these rejections, applicants have canceled claims 19, 20 and 28, and amended claim 21 to incorporate the features of previously dependent claim 28, the combination of which has been identified as allowable in the action. Claims 22-26, and 30-34, ultimately depend from claim 21, and are considered allowable for the same reasons as claim 21, and further in view of the novel features recited therein.

Appln. No.: 09/894,446

Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment dated November 14, 2006

Reply to Miscellaneous Letter of October 31, 2006

CONCLUSION

All rejections having been addressed, applicants respectfully submit that the instant application is in condition for allowance, and respectfully solicit prompt notification of the same.

Respectfully submitted,

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

Dated: November 14, 2006

By: /Gary D. Fedorochko/

Gary D. Fedorochko Registration No. 35,509

1001 G Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001-4597 Tel: (202) 824-3000 Fax: (202) 824-3001